arXiv:1103.1945v2 [math.SP] 15 Sep 2011

WEAK CONVERGENCE OF CD KERNELS: A NEW APPROACH ON THE CIRCLE AND REAL LINE

Brian Simanek[1]

Abstract. Given a probability measure μ supported on some compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and with orthonormal polynomials $\{p_n(z)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, define the measures

$$d\mu_n(z) = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} |p_j(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

and let ν_n be the normalized zero counting measure for the polynomial p_n. If μ is supported on a compact subset of the real line or on the unit circle, we provide a new proof of a 2009 theorem due to Simon that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the k^{th} moment of ν_{n+1} and μ_n differ by at most $O(n^{-1})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials, Reproducing Kernel, Balayage

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05, 60B10

1. Introduction

Given a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{C}$ with infinite and compact support, we can form the sequence $\{p_n(z)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of orthonormal polynomials satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{p_n(z)} p_m(z) d\mu(z) = \delta_{n,m}$$

and normalized so that each p_n has positive leading coefficient κ_n. With this sequence, we define

$$K_n(z,\zeta;\mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \overline{p_j(\zeta)} p_j(z),$$

the so-called *Reproducing Kernel* for polynomials of degree n. We assign it this name because of the reproducing property, namely that if Q is any polynomial of degree at most n then

$$Q(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} Q(z) K_n(w,z;\mu) d\mu(z).$$

With this notation, we can define the probability measures

$$d\mu_n = \frac{K_n(z,z;\mu)}{n+1} d\mu$$

---

[1] Mathematics MC 253-37, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. E-mail: bsimanek@caltech.edu. Supported in part by an NSF GRFP grant.

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we write $p_n(z) = \kappa_n \prod_{j=1}^{n}(z - z_j^{(n)})$ (the $z_j^{(n)}$ need not be distinct), then we define the measures

$$d\nu_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{z_j^{(n)}}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [3], Simon proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. [3] *Let* $N(\mu) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \textit{supp}(\mu)\}$. *For any* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^k d\mu_n(z) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^k d\nu_{n+1}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2kN(\mu)^k}{n+1}. \tag{1.1}$$

From this theorem, Simon deduces the following important corollary. Suppose C is a circle centered at 0 with radius larger than $N(\mu)$. Let $\hat{\mu}_n$ denote the balayage (see Theorem II.4.1 in [2]) of the measure μ_n onto C and similarly define $\hat{\nu}_n$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$w\text{-}\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ n\in\mathcal{N}}} \hat{\nu}_{n+1} = \sigma \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad w\text{-}\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ n\in\mathcal{N}}} \hat{\mu}_n = \sigma,$$

where σ is a probability measure on C and $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a subsequence.

The proof of the above theorem in [3] relies on the relationship between the polynomials $\{p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the eigenvalues of the operator M_z acting on $L^2(\mu)$ by $M_z(f(z)) = zf(z)$. In this paper, we will provide a new proof of this theorem when $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ or $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D} := \{z : |z| = 1\}$. The key idea will be to look at Prüfer phases of the appropriate ratio of the orthonormal polynomials.

If μ is supported on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, we define $\eta_n(\theta) : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ to be a continuous function so that

$$e^{i\eta_n(\theta)} = \frac{p_{n+1}(e^{i\theta})}{p^*_{n+1}(e^{i\theta})}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $p^*_{n+1}(z) = z^{n+1}\overline{p_{n+1}(\bar{z}^{-1})}$ (so that the right hand side of (1.2) is a Blaschke product). If μ is supported on $\mathbb{R}$ (we always assume compact support), then we may define $\theta_n(x) : \mathbb{R} \to (-\pi/2, \infty)$ to be a continuous function so that

$$\tan(\theta_n(x)) = \frac{a_n p_n(x)}{p_{n-1}(x)}, \tag{1.3}$$

(see Proposition 6.1 in [1]) where a_n is a positive real number so that p_{n-1} and $a_n p_n$ have the same leading coefficient. In our proofs, we will use the functions η_n and θ_n (more precisely their derivatives) to obtain measures that approximate the measure μ in a sense suitable for our purposes.

More precisely, two approximating measures will enter. In the unit circle case, we define

$$d\mu^n = |p_{n+1}(e^{i\theta})|^{-2}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \tag{1.4}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The measure μ^n (called the n^{th} *Bernstein-Szegő* measure) is in fact a probability measure on $[0, 2\pi]$ and it induces a measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with the same first n moments

- and hence the same first n orthonormal polynomials - as μ (this follows from Theorems 1.7.8 and 1.5.5 in [6]). In the real line case, we define

$$d\rho_n = \frac{dx}{\pi(a_{n+1}^2 p_{n+1}(x)^2 + p_n(x)^2)} \tag{1.5}$$

as in Theorem 2.1 in [4]. It follows from equation (2.7) in [4] that $d\rho_n$ is a probability measure and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\ell d\rho_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\ell d\mu(x) \quad , \quad \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n. \tag{1.6}$$

In the next section we provide our new proof of Theorem 1.1 when μ is supported on the unit circle. In Section 3 we consider μ supported on the real line and prove Theorem 1.1 with the right hand side of (1.1) replaced by $O(n^{-1})$.

2. The Unit Circle Case

Our goal in this section is to provide a new proof of Theorem 1.1 when μ is supported on the unit circle. We begin our proof by noting that the theorem is equivalent to the statement that the moments of the signed measures $d\hat{\nu}_{n+1} - d\mu_n$ converge 0 at a certain rate where $\hat{\nu}_n$ is the balayage of the measure ν_n onto $\partial\mathbb{D}$. It is easy to check that (see equation (8.2.8) in [6])

$$d\hat{\nu}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - |z_j^{(n+1)}|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z_j^{(n+1)}|^2} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

If we define $\eta_n : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ as in (1.2) above, then equation (6.10) in [7] implies that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\eta_n(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - |z_j^{(n+1)}|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z_j^{(n+1)}|^2}.$$

Furthermore, equation (10.8) in [1] tells us that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\eta_n(\theta) = \frac{K_n(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}; \mu)}{|p_{n+1}(e^{i\theta})|^2}$$

so we conclude that

$$d\hat{\nu}_{n+1} = \frac{K_n(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}; \mu)}{n+1} d\mu^n(\theta).$$

Therefore, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} z^k \, d\nu_{n+1}(z) - \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^k d\mu_n(z) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[\langle p_j(z), z^k p_j(z) \rangle_{\mu^n} - \langle p_j(z), z^k p_j(z) \rangle_{\mu} \right].$$

Since μ and μ^n have the same first n moments, at most k of these summands are non-zero and each non-zero summand has absolute value at most 2. We have therefore proven

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} z^k \, d\mu_n(z) - \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^k \, d\nu_{n+1}(z) \right| \le \frac{2k}{n+1}$$

exactly as in Theorem 1.1.

Example. Let μ be the normalized arclength measure on the unit circle. In this case we have $p_n(z) = z^n$ for all n and $\mu_n = \mu$ for all n. The measures ν_n are all simply the point mass at 0 with weight 1. This example illustrates the fact that in general, the measures μ_n and ν_n need not resemble each other as measures on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, so it really is important that we consider the balayage.

3. The Real Line Case

Our goal in this section is to provide a new proof of Theorem 1.1 when μ is supported on a compact subset of the real line and with the right hand side of (1.1) replaced by $O(n^{-1})$ where the implied constant depends on k. There is a proof of this result due to Totik, also appearing in [3], but with the right hand side of (1.1) replaced by $o(1)$ (though it can be modified to produce the same $O(n^{-1})$ discrepancy estimate for the moments as in (1.1) above). Totik's proof uses Gaussian quadratures and the monotonicity (in n) of the sequence $K_n(x, x; \mu)$ to establish the weak convergence result for all polynomials that are positive on the convex hull of the support of μ. The proof we present here will be analogous to the proof in Section 2 and will rely on the sequence of approximating measures ρ_n (see (1.5) above). We will make use of formula (3.1) below, which relates a set of perturbed zero-counting measures to a set of perturbed quadrature measures. Combining this with an interlacing property will allow us to derive the $O(n^{-1})$ estimate in (1.1).

Our computation will be a bit longer than in the unit circle case partly because in Section 2, the most difficult calculation was already done for us in [1] and partly because the high moments of the measure ρ_n defined in equation (1.5) are infinite, so we need to use a cutoff function.

Let us assume μ has support contained in $[-M, M]$ and define

$$\tau(x) = \chi_{[-M-1,M+1]}(x).$$

Corresponding to μ there is a Jacobi matrix J, which is the matrix of multiplication by x in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$ with respect to the basis given by the orthonormal polynomials. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we will let $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ be the spectral measure corresponding to the Jacobi matrix $J_n + \lambda\langle e_n, \cdot\rangle e_n$ and the vector e_1 where J_n is the upper left $n \times n$ block of J (see Section 6 in [5]). Notice that $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ is supported on n distinct points and by Corollary 6.3 in [5], the points in the support of $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ interlace for distinct values of λ. Let $\nu_{n,\lambda}$ be the measure placing weight n^{-1} on each point in the support of $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ (so that $\nu_{n,0} = \nu_n$). It follows from formula (6.16) in [5] that

$$\frac{1}{n} d\mu_{n,\lambda} = \frac{1}{K_{n-1}(x, x; \mu)} d\nu_{n,\lambda}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \tau(x) d\nu_{n+1,\lambda} = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \tau(x) K_n(x, x; \mu) d\mu_{n+1,\lambda}. \tag{3.2}$$

After taking a suitable average (in λ), the expression on the left-hand side of (3.2) approximates the k^{th} moment of ν_{n+1} as $n \to \infty$ while the right-hand side approximates the k^{th} moment of μ_n as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, our first step is to integrate the left hand side of (3.2) from $-\infty$ to ∞ with respect to $\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)}$. Notice that for any value of λ, at most one point in the support of $\nu_{n+1,\lambda}$ lies outside $[-M-1, M+1]$ because of the interlacing property. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)d\nu_{n+1,\lambda}(x)\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)d\nu_{n+1,0}(x) + O(n^{-1}) \tag{3.3}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

If we integrate the right hand side of (3.2) in the same way, this becomes

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)K_n(x,x;\mu)\,d\rho_n(x) \tag{3.4}$$

by Theorem 2.1 in [4]. Notice that this integral would be infinite without the cut-off function τ. As an aside, we note that by Proposition 6.1 in [1], (3.4) is just

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{d\theta_{n+1}(x)}{dx}\,dx,$$

which is why we call this the analog of the proof in Section 2. Notice that for any fixed $m \le n$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)|p_m(x)|^2\,d\rho_n(x) \le (M+1)^k.$$

This follows from the fact that p_m is also the degree m orthonormal polynomial for the measure $d\rho_n$ by (1.6). Therefore, we can rewrite (3.4) as

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\tau(x)K_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)\,d\rho_n(x) + O(n^{-1})$$

as $n \to \infty$. We can rewrite this again as

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^kK_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)\,d\rho_n(x) - \frac{1}{n+1}\int_{|x|>M+1} x^kK_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)\,d\rho_n(x) + O(n^{-1}) \tag{3.5}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Notice that $x^kK_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)$ is a polynomial of degree $2n-k$ while the denominator of the weight defining the measure ρ_n is a polynomial of degree $2n+2$. Therefore, both integrals in (3.5) are finite. The first term in (3.5) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^kK_n(x,x;\mu)d\mu(x) + O(n^{-1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k\,d\mu_n(x) + O(n^{-1})$$

as $n \to \infty$ again by (1.6). We will be finished if we can show that the second term in (3.5) tends to 0 like $O(n^{-1})$ as $n \to \infty$ and for this it suffices to put a uniform bound on

$$\int_{|x|>M+1} x^kK_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)\,d\rho_n(x). \tag{3.6}$$

To do this, we rewrite (3.6) as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{|x|>M+1} x^k K_{n-k}(x,x;\mu)\,d\mu_{n+1,\lambda}(x)\,\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)}.$$

Recall that for each fixed λ, at most one point in the support of $\mu_{n+1,\lambda}$ has absolute value larger than $M+1$. Let us denote this point (if it exists) by $x_{n+1,\lambda}$. Therefore, the above integral is just

$$\int_A x_{n+1,\lambda}^k \frac{K_{n-k}(x_{n+1,\lambda},x_{n+1,\lambda};\mu)}{K_n(x_{n+1,\lambda},x_{n+1,\lambda};\mu)}\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where we used (3.1) and the integral is taken over some set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_{n+1,\lambda}$ exists if and only if $\lambda \in A$. Using the Christoffel Variational Principle (Theorem 9.2 in [5]), it is easily seen that

$$\frac{K_{n-k}(x_{n+1,\lambda},x_{n+1,\lambda};\mu)}{K_n(x_{n+1,\lambda},x_{n+1,\lambda};\mu)} \le \left(\frac{M}{|x_{n+1,\lambda}|}\right)^{2k}.$$

Therefore, we can bound (3.7) from above in absolute value by

$$\int_A \frac{M^{2k}}{|x_{n+1,\lambda}|^k}\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)},$$

which is uniform in n since $|x_{n+1,\lambda}| > M+1$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank my advisor Barry Simon for many useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Last, B. Simon, *Fine structure of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials IV: a priori bounds and clock behavior*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008) 486-538.

[2] E. B. Saff and V. Totik *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 316, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1997.

[3] B. Simon, *Weak convergence of CD kernels and applications*, Duke Math. J. 146 (2009) 305-330.

[4] B. Simon, *Orthogonal polynomials with exponentially decaying recursion coefficients*, Probability and Mathematical Physics (eds. D. Dawson, V. Jaksic, and B. Vainberg), CRM Proc. and Lecture Notes, 42 (2007), 453-463.

[5] B. Simon, *The Christoffel-Darboux Kernel*, in "Perspectives in PDE, Harmonic Analysis and Applications," a volume in honor of V. G. Maz'ya's 70th birthday, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 79 (2008), 295-335.

[6] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part One: Classical Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[7] M. Stoiciu, *The statistical distribution of the zeroes of random paraorthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, J. Approx. Theory 139 (2006), 29–64.